

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

DOMAIN ASSET HOLDINGS, LLC,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
BLUE RIDGE FIBERBOARD, INC.,  
  
Defendant.

No. 2:16-cv-00520

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY  
RELIEF UNDER THE ANTI-  
CYBERSQUATTING CONSUMER  
PROTECTION ACT

Plaintiff Domain Asset Holdings, Inc. ("Plaintiff"), by and through its counsel, brings this action against Blue Ridge Fiberboard, Inc. ("Defendant"), and alleges as follows:

**PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

1. This is an action for declaratory relief to establish that Plaintiff's registration of the domain name Soundstop.com (the "Domain Name") is lawful under the Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d) (ACPA), and to prevent the transfer of the Domain Name to Defendant, which was ordered in a decision by an administrative panel pursuant to the Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (UDRP) in the proceeding Blue Ridge Fiberboard, Inc. v. DOMAIN ADMINISTRATOR / DOMAIN ASSET HOLDINGS, LLC, FA1602001661150 (Mar. 29, 2016).

COMPLAINT (No. ) – 1

91004-7113/130556470.1

**Perkins Coie LLP**  
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900  
Seattle, WA 98101-3099  
Phone: 206.359.8000  
Fax: 206.359.9000  
SGR/13781946.1

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 because Plaintiff seeks a declaration, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, that its registration and use of the Domain Name does not violate Defendant's rights under the ACPA, and other provisions of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051 *et seq.*

3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant agreed to submit to the jurisdiction of this Court when it initiated an administrative proceeding with the National Arbitration Forum (NAF) concerning the Domain Name. Specifically, Defendant agreed to jurisdiction in the location of the concerned registrar. The registrar for the Domain Name, eNom, Inc. is headquartered in Kirkland, Washington.

4. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because the Domain Name registrar eNom, Inc. is located in Kirkland, Washington, which is in this judicial district.

**PARTIES**

5. Plaintiff Domain Asset Holdings, LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Maryland with its principal place of business located at 2 Research Place, Suite 310, Rockville, MD 20850.

6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Blue Ridge Fiberboard, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business located at 300 Industrial Drive, Hampshire, IL 60140.

**FACTS**

7. Plaintiff is a premium domain name investor that was established in 2008 and currently maintains a portfolio of approximately 250,000 domain names. Plaintiff primarily buys and sells domain names through a secondary marketplace operated by Plaintiff's affiliated company DomainMarket, LLC.

8. In view of the proliferation of use of the Internet in the last decade, with approximately 299 million registered domain names worldwide, the secondary market for

1 domain names plays an important role in the digital economy by affording business owners the  
2 opportunity to acquire valuable brand assets, namely domain names, that otherwise may not be  
3 available.

4 9. The Domain Name was first registered November 24, 1999, and was acquired by  
5 Plaintiff through a domain name auction in January 2011 after the prior owner failed to renew  
6 the domain name.

7 10. At the time the Domain Name was acquired, Plaintiff was purchasing upwards of  
8 500 domain names per day.

9 11. To select domain names to purchase, Plaintiff uses proprietary software and  
10 algorithms that analyze available or soon-to-be available domain names, including expiring  
11 domain names being sold at auction. Factors the algorithms consider to determine whether a  
12 domain name would be of value to Plaintiff's customers include the number of characters in the  
13 domain name, whether the domain name includes common terms, and whether the domain name  
14 has features that would make it marketable and easy to remember, such as the use of alliteration.

15 12. The term "sound" in particular is perceived to have a high value because it can be  
16 used by a variety of industries, and Plaintiff currently owns approximately 400 domain names  
17 that comprise the term "sound" with one or more dictionary terms.

18 13. In addition, the Domain Name was perceived to be a good acquisition because the  
19 Domain Name comprised the term "sound" with another short, common term, "stop," and the  
20 resulting double "s" alliteration.

21 14. Plaintiff had no knowledge of Defendant or any claimed, exclusive rights in the  
22 combination of the terms "sound" and "stop" at the time the Domain Name was acquired.

23 15. In the five years that Plaintiff has owned the Domain Name, the Domain Name  
24 has never been used to sell competitive third-party goods or services or display pay-per-click  
25 advertising that directs consumers to competitive third-party goods or services.  
26

1           16.     Rather, consumers who visit the Domain Name are redirected to a landing page,  
2 located at <http://www.domainmarket.com/buynow/soundstop.com>, on the website  
3 DomainMarket.com, the website for Plaintiff's affiliate DomainMarket, LLC. The landing page  
4 displays the sale price of the Domain Name, a means to purchase it, a phone number for  
5 DomainMarket.com, and a search engine for browsing the premium domain names available for  
6 purchase on DomainMarket.com. The page also contains links to information about  
7 DomainMarket.com.

8           17.     Plaintiff's use of the Domain Name to merely offer that domain name for sale  
9 constitutes a bona fide offering of goods and services.

10          18.     Plaintiff has never intended to divert customers from a website owned by  
11 Defendant, and Plaintiff's use of the Domain Name has not caused nor is it likely to cause  
12 confusion as to the source, sponsorship, affiliation or endorsement of the site.

13          19.     Plaintiff has never approached Defendant or any of its competitors regarding a  
14 potential sale of the Domain Name.

15          20.     Plaintiff provided accurate contact information when applying for registration of  
16 the Domain Name, and has maintained accurate contact information.

17          21.     As part of Plaintiff's registration agreement with domain name registrar eNom,  
18 Inc., Plaintiff agreed to be bound by the UDRP, which requires Plaintiff to submit to and  
19 participate in a mandatory administrative arbitration proceeding in the event that a third party,  
20 such as Defendant, submits a complaint to a dispute resolution service provider concerning a  
21 domain name that Plaintiff has registered.

22          22.     On February 18, 2016, Defendant submitted a complaint pursuant to the UDRP  
23 with the NAF, an approved dispute resolution service provider under the UDRP, seeking transfer  
24 of the Domain Name to Defendant.  
25  
26

23. Defendant alleged in its complaint, *inter alia*, that it owns enforceable rights in the trademark SOUNDSTOP, that Plaintiff has no rights or legitimate interests in the Domain Name, and that Plaintiff registered and is using the Domain Name in bad faith.

24. On March 30, 2016, an administrative panel established by NAF directed the transfer of the Domain Name from Plaintiff to Defendant.

25. Rule 4(k) of the UDRP provides Plaintiff an opportunity to stay the transfer of the Domain Name by commencing a lawsuit within ten (10) business days of a NAF decision.

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**Declaratory Relief Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201**  
**Defendant Is Not Violating the ACPA**

26. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-25 as though fully set forth herein.

27. There is an actual controversy with respect to whether Defendant is entitled to transfer of the Domain Name based on Defendant's rights under the ACPA.

28. At the time Plaintiff registered the Domain Name, Defendant's mark SOUNDSTOP was neither "distinctive" nor "famous" as provided for under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d)(1)(A)(ii).

29. Although Defendant has a registration for SOUNDSTOP for "fiberboard sheathing," its rights are limited to those goods and goods closely related to them.

30. In registering the Domain Name, Plaintiff lacked any "bad faith intent," as provided in 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d)(1)(A)(i), to profit from Defendant's mark SOUNDSTOP.

31. Plaintiff has not and is not using the Domain Name to advertise, promote or sell competing goods or services to those covered by the SOUNDSTOP registration.

32. Plaintiff had reasonable grounds to believe that its registration and use of the Domain Name was a fair use or otherwise lawful, as provided in 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d)(1)(B)(ii).

33. Plaintiff's registration and use of the Domain Name does not violate Defendant's rights under the ACPA.

COMPLAINT (No. ) – 5

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request that judgment be entered:

- A. Declaring that Plaintiff has the right to register and use the Domain Name;
- B. Declaring that Plaintiff did not register the Domain Name in bad faith;
- C. Declaring that Plaintiff is not cybersquatting within the meaning of 15 U.S.C.

§ 1125(d).

D. Prohibiting Defendant and the registrar eNom, Inc. from taking any further action with respect to the transfer of the Domain Name;

E. Awarding Plaintiff the costs of suit, including reasonable attorneys' fees; and

F. Awarding such other further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

DATED: April 11, 2016

**PERKINS COIE LLP**

By: s/William C. Rava

William C. Rava, WSBA No. 29948  
WRava@perkinscoie.com  
Austin J. Rice-Stitt, WSBA No. 42166  
ARiceStitt@perkinscoie.com  
Jonathan P. Goddard, WSBA No. 49872  
JGoddard@perkinscoie.com  
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900  
Seattle, WA 98101-3099  
Telephone: 206.359.8000  
Facsimile: 206.359.9000

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Domain Asset Holdings, LLC

COMPLAINT (No. ) – 6